"Safety" and "murder" are polar opposites. The compass of life provides a conscious choice of direction by protecting the public from harm or for the enhancement of wealth by endangering others. Safety points north toward social progress. Murder points south to social decline. As the sun rises in the east, it brings the light of day that will illuminate all of the physical laws of science that remain constant. As the sun sets in the west, it brings the darkness of night that hides all of the evils of human behavior that remain variable.

There is a difference when safety features are deleted for gain or profit, as now murder is in the process of being attempted. It is the dark side of the law when an uncontrolled hazard maims or kills, and is defended as a cost of enterprise. It is a sin to rationalize that when a product or facility has a history of life-threatening or life-taking experience, it is unnecessary to provide safety accessories or alternate safe design for any or all of the following excuses:

- To believe it is a matter
- To speculate that the safety feature is unreliable when experience shows otherwise
- To theorize that the cost of safety features exceeds the cost of possible harm
- To infer that the utility of the product or facility will be compromised
- To blame victims for being at fault because of their unsafe behavior
- To assume the provider of the product or facility had no duty to know of the hazard
- To abrogate responsibility to include safety features by pretending risk is acceptable

It is criminal to defend the absence of safety features that will eliminate, guard against, provide a safety factor or ensure for redundancy of features to prevent the hazard from causing harm. This is unconscionable and unreasonable logic that wrongfully endangers the public. The term "accident" is a grossly inappropriate description for a fatality that is the result of a failure to provide design-based safety; the word should be "murder."